

**What We Now Know: Results of A Multiyear Study on the Impact of Counsel for Domestic Violence Survivors**

**SPEAKERS: John Pollock, Lynette M. Renner, Jessica Taylor, Carolyn Copps Hartley**

**Session/Speaker Evaluation**

**The session content was consistent with description in the agenda**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	8%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	15%
5	Strongly Agree	10	77%
Mean		<b>4.54</b>	

**The session information will help me be more effective in my position.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	8%
3	3	2	15%
4	4	3	23%
5	Strongly Agree	7	54%
Mean		<b>4.23</b>	

**I can use the information I learned right away.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	2	15%
3	3	2	15%
4	4	3	23%
5	Strongly Agree	6	46%
Mean		<b>4.00</b>	

**Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	8%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	15%
5	Strongly Agree	10	77%
Mean		<b>4.62</b>	



# 2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

## SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

### Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	8%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	15%
5	Strongly Agree	10	77%
Mean		<b>4.62</b>	

### The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	3	23%
4	4	2	15%
5	Strongly Agree	8	62%
Mean		<b>4.38</b>	

### The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	8%
3	3	1	8%
4	4	1	8%
5	Strongly Agree	10	77%
Mean		<b>4.54</b>	

### The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	2	15%
3	3	4	31%
4	4	1	8%
5	Strongly Agree	6	46%
Mean		<b>3.85</b>	

### The handouts and materials were useful.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	8%
3	3	3	25%
4	4	1	8%
5	Strongly Agree	7	58%
Mean		<b>4.17</b>	

### Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	9%
2	2	1	9%
3	3	2	18%
4	4	2	18%
5	Strongly Agree	5	45%
Mean		<b>3.82</b>	

### Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	1	10%
3	26 to 40	8	80%
4	41 to 60	1	10%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
Mean		<b>3.00</b>	

### Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	8	73%
2	No	3	27%
Mean		<b>1.27</b>	

### Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	11	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		<b>1.00</b>	

### Why or why not?

- Perhaps a discussion on longitude studies in other substantive areas –housing?
- Faculty appears knowledgeable and presented well. Topic not covered thoroughly, and results of study don't seem to provide any newly discovered information. Many references by faculty about what "other research" shows.



# 2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

## SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

### **What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?**

- More focus on results and how it can help other programs.
- Excellent topic—so thrilled that there is additional research to cite for this legal issues. Thank you!
- Glad to hear input is available.
- Good to hear about funded collaboration. Both Universities, but not clear without control group that the legal intervention itself lead to the good results.
- Great session! Did a good job pulling out lessons for wider community from this case study.
- Seems like maybe this study and the results too big for a slot of this conference - many remarks about what they covered not reported or included due to the limitations—felt like we barely scratched the surface.

### **What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?**

- Great!
- Excellent!
- Very knowledgeable.