

Subsidized Jobs: Getting to the Heart of Deep Poverty

SPEAKERS: William Cobb and Sharon Dietrich

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	25%
5	Strongly Agree	6	75%
Mean		4.75	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	13%
4	4	2	25%
5	Strongly Agree	5	63%
Mean		4.50	

I can use the information I learned right away.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	3	38%
4	4	2	25%
5	Strongly Agree	3	38%
Mean		4.00	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	8	100%
Mean		5.00	

2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	7	100%
Mean		5.00	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	3	38%
5	Strongly Agree	5	63%
Mean		4.63	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	13%
5	Strongly Agree	7	88%
Mean		4.88	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	8	100%
Mean		5.00	

The handouts and materials were useful.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	8	100%
Mean		5.00	

2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	13%
5	Strongly Agree	7	88%
Mean		4.88	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	7	78%
3	26 to 40	2	22%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
Mean		2.22	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	6	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	8	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Why or why not?

- Innovative ideas.
- Hopefully, there will be success stories to point too.
- This.
- Should not do the same agenda every year. But continued discussions on this question is good.
- Excellent.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- Engaging and informational.
- Look at the website climate changers Inc.

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Interesting, knowledgeable.
- Informed.