

## **2016 Annual Conference**

# Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

### **Strengthening Legal Aid Governance**

SPEAKERS: Yvonne Mariajimenez, Kimberly Irish, Chris McConkey

### **Session/Speaker Evaluation**

#### The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	3	100%
Mean	5.00	

#### The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	33%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	2	67%
	Mean	4.33	

#### I can use the information I learned right away.

Choices		Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	3	100%
Mean	5.00	

#### Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices Count			
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%	
2 2	0	0%	
3 3	0	0%	
4 4	0	0%	
5 Strongly Agree	3	100%	
Mean	5.00		



# **2016 Annual Conference**

# Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	3	100%
Mean	5.00	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	1	33%
5 Strongly Agree	2	67%
Mean	4.67	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	3	100%
	Mean	5.00	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	33%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	2	67%
	Mean	4.33	

#### The handouts and materials were useful.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	33%
5	Strongly Agree	2	67%
	Mean	4.67	



## **2016 Annual Conference**

# Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	33%
5	Strongly Agree	2	67%
	Mean	4.67	

#### Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices	Count	Percent
1 0 to 10	0	0%
2 11 to 25	3	100%
3   26 to 40	0	0%
4 41 to 60	0	0%
5   61 to 75	0	0%
6 76 or more	0	0%
Mean	2.00	

### Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Yes	3	100%
2 No	0	0%
Mean	1.00	

#### Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Yes	3	100%
2	No	0	0%
	Mean	1.00	

#### Why or why not?

• Gave practical? for board to come together & develop.

#### What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

• No comments given.

#### What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

• Great demonstration presented regarding a LAS-? to development. Great presentation on the dates of the governance committee.