

City Models: The Impact of Redesigning Cities on Low-Income People and Communities of Color

SPEAKERS: Afua Atta Mensah

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	5	29%
5	Strongly Agree	12	71%
Mean		4.71	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	6%
4	4	6	35%
5	Strongly Agree	10	59%
Mean		4.53	

I can use the information I learned right away.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	6%
4	4	5	31%
5	Strongly Agree	10	63%
Mean		4.56	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	5	31%
5	Strongly Agree	11	69%
Mean		4.69	

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	5	29%
5	Strongly Agree	12	71%
Mean		4.71	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	6%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	4	24%
5	Strongly Agree	12	71%
Mean		4.53	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	4	24%
5	Strongly Agree	13	76%
Mean		4.76	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	3	18%
5	Strongly Agree	14	82%
Mean		4.82	

The handouts and materials were useful.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	2	15%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	8%
4	4	3	23%
5	Strongly Agree	7	54%
Mean		4.00	

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	3	30%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	20%
5	Strongly Agree	5	50%
Mean		3.60	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	4	27%
3	26 to 40	10	67%
4	41 to 60	1	7%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
Mean		2.80	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	14	93%
2	No	1	7%
Mean		1.07	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	15	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Why or why not?

- She brought new ideas to the table and help us to reach out to our community.
- Due to change in politic for president what has happen in the year.
- Yes.
- She knows her field and is inspiring, energizing and engaging.
- Very knowledgeable.
- I don't think there was concrete advise given for communities already displaced.



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- Very informative, presenter was very passionate and knowledgeable.
- The education and knowledge that everyone has to contribute to this session.
- New methods for fighting.
- Very good.
- The talk and enter action.
- Inspired me to research: some legal aid attorneys present so a couple of ideas for them would have been good.
- Speaker knowledgeable, passionate offered strategies to improve effectiveness. No handouts or audio visual.
- Needed PowerPoint or handouts given the flow of the presentation.

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Very knowledgeable of her position.
- Informative.
- Yes.
- Excellent.
- Great! Smart & sharp.
- Skilled, effective.
- Very informative - with new presidential elected this will come in very good.
- Knowledgeable, needed a more professional presentation.