

**Aligning Organizations to Advance Racial Equity**

**SPEAKERS: Ellen Hemley, Aurora Martin, Pavita Krishnaswamy**

**Session/Speaker Evaluation**

**The session content was consistent with description in the agenda**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	4	44%
4	4	1	11%
5	Strongly Agree	4	44%
Mean		<b>4.00</b>	

**The session information will help me be more effective in my position.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	3	33%
4	4	1	11%
5	Strongly Agree	5	56%
Mean		<b>4.22</b>	

**I can use the information I learned right away.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	2	25%
4	4	2	25%
5	Strongly Agree	4	50%
Mean		<b>4.25</b>	

**Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	11%
5	Strongly Agree	8	89%
Mean		<b>4.89</b>	

**Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	3	33%
5	Strongly Agree	6	67%
Mean		<b>4.67</b>	

**The session met or exceeded my expectation.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	11%
3	3	2	22%
4	4	1	11%
5	Strongly Agree	5	56%
Mean		<b>4.11</b>	

**The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	2	22%
4	4	1	11%
5	Strongly Agree	6	67%
Mean		<b>4.44</b>	

**The session was interactive with significant audience participation.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	11%
4	4	3	33%
5	Strongly Agree	5	56%
Mean		<b>4.44</b>	

**The handouts and materials were useful.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	17%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	5	83%
Mean		<b>4.67</b>	

### Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	17%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	4	67%
Mean		4.17	

### Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	2	25%
3	26 to 40	3	38%
4	41 to 60	1	13%
5	61 to 75	1	13%
6	76 or more	1	13%
Mean		2.75	

### Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	5	71%
2	No	2	29%
Mean		1.29	

### Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	7	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

### Why or why not?

- All of them were fantastic!
- The information is critical to organization as they plan how to respond to issues of racial equity - both internal and external.
- Should be a larger discussion - this issue is so important to work we do.
- Knowledgeable & amiable.
- But they need to be more specific.



## **2016 Annual Conference**

**Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016**

### **SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS**

#### **What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?**

- Thank you for continuing to keep race-equity and racism on the program!
- Thought it would cover collaboration between organizations to advance racial equity - it didn't, but was fabulous. Finally a discussion of white fragility.
- This session was ok but it needed more detail in other words, there was too much overview and not enough specifics. The most helpful part was Brooklyn Legal Services, explanation in detail at interview procedures.

#### **What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?**

- I had heard of the RJTI, and was happy to hear more. Need defenders in this issue too!
- They seemed knowledgeable, audio visual not working, two of trainers remained seated & talked in monotones. Javita was more engaging.
- Excellent moderator & panel members.